# On the Relative Humidity of the Earth's Atmosphere

Raymond T. Pierrehumbert Helene Brogniez Remy Roca

March 1, 2005

## 1 Introduction

Water is a nearly miraculous molecule which enters into the operation of the climate system in a remarkable variety of ways. A wide-ranging overview can be found in [Pierrehumbert (2002)]. In the present chapter, we will be concerned only with the radiative effects of atmospheric water vapor on climate. These effects are important because feedbacks due to changes in atmospheric water vapor amplify the climate system's response to virtually all climate forcings, including anthropogenic and natural changes in  $CO_2$ , changes in solar luminosity, and changes in orbital parameters. In contrast to cloud feedbacks, which differ greatly amongst general circulation models, clear sky water vapor feedback is quite consistent from one model to another. Essentially all general circulation models yield water vapor feedback consistent with that which would result from holding *relative humidity* fixed as climate changes [Held and Soden (2000), Colman and McAvaney (1997)]. This is an emergent property of the simulated climate system; fixed relative humidity is not in any way built into the model physics, and the models offer ample means by which relative humidity could change.

Why should models with such diverse representations of moist processes yield such similar results when it comes to water vapor feedback? The answer to this question has a considerable bearing on the extent to which one can trust models to faithfully reproduce the water vapor feedback occurring in nature, and in particular in climates which haven't yet been directly observed. There is much indirect evidence that the water vapor feedback in models is correct, and indeed no compelling reason has emerged to doubt it. Nonetheless, it has proved difficult to articulate cleanly and convincingly from basic principles exactly why one should have confidence in this aspect of the models. If the atmosphere were saturated at all levels, understanding water vapor feedback would offer few challenges. The difficulty arises from the prevalence of highly unsaturated air in the atmosphere. To make progess, one needs better conceptual models of the factors governing the distribution of subsaturated air in the atmosphere. Once mechanisms are understood, prospects for determining whether key processes operate in the same way in models as in the real world become brighter.

Another reason for seeking a better mechanistic understanding of the relative humidity distribution is that such an understanding is a prerequisite for credible incorporation of water vapor feedback in idealized climate models. Despite advances in computer power, energy balance models and their somewhat embellished cousins the "intermediate complexity models" continue to have an important role in exploration of new ideas. When simulations of tens or hundreds of thousands of years are called for, they are indispensible. In thinking about representation of the hydrological cycle in idealized models, one must distinguish between the requirements of modelling water for such purposes as computing precipitation and latent heat transport, and the requirements of modelling water for the purpose of determining its radiative impacts. The former is a challenging enough task, but involves primarily low-altitude processes where most of the atmosphere's water is found. The latter is yet more formidable, as it requires modelling the relatively small proportion of water found in the upper reaches of the troposphere. Even in idealized models which attempt some representation of the hydrological cycle [Petoukhov et al (2000), Weaver et al (2001)], the dynamic influences on water vapor feedback are generally neglected.

The aim of this chapter is to present some simple but quantifiable ideas concerning the way in which the atmosphere's population of unsaturated air emerges from the interplay of transport and condensation. The key insight is to think of the water content of a parcel of air as resulting from a stochastic process operating along air parcel trajectories. The various incarnations of this idea which will be discussed in this chapter account for the prevalence of dry air, offer some insight as to how the relative humidity distribution may change in response to a changing climate, and yield some diagnostic techniques that can be used as a basis for comparing moisture-determining processes between models and the real world. Through detailed analysis of an idealized version of the stochastic problem, we expose some of the mathematical challenges involved in formulating moisture parameterizations for idealized climate models, and suggest a possible approach to stochastic modelling of moisture. The class of theories we consider here deals only with those aspects of moisture that can be inferred on the basis of large scale wind and temperature fields such as can be explicitly resolved by general circulation models and global analysis/assimilation datasets. This is only a piece of the puzzle, but we think it is a large piece. The whole complex of important issues concerning the way deep convection injects moisture into the atmosphere, and the sensitivity of such processes to microphysics and parameterization (e.g. [Tompkins and Emanuel (2000)]) is left untouched for the moment, but must at some point be brought back into the picture.

We begin by reviewing some basic material concerning the radiative importance of water vapor in Sections 2 and 3. The essential ideas governing the generation of subsaturated air are introduced in Section 4. A simple class of models embodying these ideas is analyzed in Section 5, where we also analyze a more conventional diffusive model for the sake of comparison and contrast. In Section 6 the concepts are applied to diagnosis of moisture dynamics in the present climate, and in GCM simulations of warming in response to elevation of  $CO_2$ .

# 2 Basic properties of water vapor

There are two basic aspects of the physics of water vapor that give it a distinguished role in determining the sensitivity of the Earth's climate. The first aspect is common to all substances that undergo a phase change: the maximum partial pressure of water vapor that can be present in a volume of atmosphere in thermodynamic equilibrium is a strongly increasing function of temperature. This maximum is known as the *saturation vapor pressure*,  $e_s$ , and is governed by the Clausius-Clapeyron relation. For water vapor at modern terrestrial temperatures, the Clausius-Clapeyron relation is well approximated by the exponential form

$$e_s(T) = e_s(T_o)exp^{-\frac{L}{R_w}(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_o})}$$
(1)

where L is the latent heat of the appropriate phase transition (vapor to liquid at warm temperatures, vapor to solid at sufficiently cold temperatures),  $R_w$  is the the gas constant for water vapor, and  $T_o$  is a reference temperature. At the freezing point,  $e_s$  is 614Pa or 6.14mb;  $L/R_w = 5419K$  for condensation into liquid, and 6148K for condensation into ice. The formula predicts a very strong dependence of saturation vapor pressure on temperature. At 300K,  $e_s$  rises to 3664Pa, whereas at 250K  $e_s$  drops to a mere 77Pa. Once the partial pressure of water vapor reaches the saturation vapor pressure, any further addition of water vapor will lead to condensation sufficient to bring the vapor pressure back down to saturation. The condensed water may remain in suspension as cloud droplets, or it may aggregate and be removed from the atmosphere in the form of precipitation. Because water vapor mixing ratio is a materially conserved quantity until condensation occurs, it is convenient to define the saturation mixing ratio,  $q_s$ , which is the ratio of the mass of water vapor that could be held in saturation to the mass of noncondensible air. In terms of saturation vapor pressure,  $q_s = .622e_s(T)/p_a$ , where  $p_a$  is the partial pressure of dry air. Condensation occurs whenever the mixing ratio q exceeds  $q_s$ .

The Clausius-Clapeyron relation provides a powerful constraint on the behavior of water vapor, but it is not at all straightforward to tease out the implications of this constraint for climate, for the reason that it only gives an *upper bound* on the water vapor content for any given temperature, and tells us nothing about how closely that bound might be approached.

The second key aspect of water vapor is that it is a potent greenhouse gas. Like most greenhouse gases, the effect of water vapor on OLR is approximately logarithmic in mixing ratio, once the concentration is sufficiently large to saturate the principal absorption bands. The logarithmic effect of water vapor is somewhat more difficult to cleanly quantify than is the case for well-mixed greenhouse gases like  $CO_2$ , but if one adopts a base-case vertical distribution and changes water vapor by multiplying this mixing ratio profile by an altitude-independant factor, one finds that each doubling of water vapor reduces OLR by about  $6W/m^2$  [Pierrehumbert (1999)]. This is about 50% greater than the sensitivity of OLR to  $CO_2$ . A comprehensive analysis of the latitude and altitude dependance of the sensitivity of OLR to water vapor can be found in [Held and Soden (2000)]. The idea that small quantities of water vapor can have a lot of leverage in climate change has a fairly long history, and is now widely recognized. Water vapor feedback was included in the very first quantitative calculations of  $CO_2$ -induced warming by Arrhenius, and the importance of water vapor aloft was implicit in such calculations, as it was in the first comprehensive radiative-convective simulation of the problem by [Manabe and Wetherald (1967)]. However, consciousness of the importance of free tropospheric humidity, and of the difficulty of understanding it, did not really awaken until the early 1990's. Significant early work on the subject appeared in [Soden and Bretherton (1993)] and [Shine and Sinha (1991)], partly in response to some salutary if ultimately easily dismissed, skepticism about global warming expressed in [Lindzen (1990)]. Related points have been discussed in [Spencer and Braswell (1997), Pierrehumbert (1999), Held and Soden (2000)], among others.

One important consequence of the logarithmic dependance is that the relatively small amount of water vapor aloft nonetheless has a great influence on the radiation budget. Another consequence is that the degree of dryness of dry air also has a great effect on the radiation budget: Reducing free tropospheric humidity from 5% to 2.5% in a dry zone has nearly the same radiative impact as reducing humidity from 40% to 20%, even though the former reduction involves a much smaller quantity of water. A related consequence of the logarithmic dependence is that the radiative effect of water vapor cannot be accurately determined on the basis of the mean mixing ratio alone. Fluctuations in mixing ratio, e.g. fluctuations at scales smaller than resolved in a climate model, affect the OLR to some extent. If we denote the mixing ratio by q and time or space averages by angle brackets, then  $\langle \log q \rangle \neq \log \langle q \rangle$ . Specifically, writing  $q = \langle q \rangle + q'$ ,

$$\langle \log(\langle q \rangle (1 + \frac{q'}{\langle q \rangle})) \rangle \approx \log(\langle q \rangle) - \frac{1}{2} \langle (\frac{q'}{\langle q \rangle})^2 \rangle < \log\langle q \rangle \tag{2}$$

Since OLR is proportional to  $-\log q$ , fluctuations in water vapor *increase* the OLR and have a cooling effect. Having some very dry air and some very moist air allows more infrared cooling than would the same amount of water spread uniformly over the atmosphere. To provide some idea of the magnitude of this effect, consider an atmosphere with a horizontally uniform relative humidity of 50%. Next, increase the humidity in one half of the atmosphere to 87.5%, while keeping the total water in the system constant. This requires a reduction of the rest of the atmosphere to 12.5% relative humidity. One not-quite doubles the humidity in half of the atmosphere, while reducing the humidity in the rest by a factor of 1/4, yielding a net increase of OLR of  $3.6W/m^2$ , based on the sensitivity factor given above. If we make the dry air still drier, reducing it to

6.25% while increasing the moist air to 93.75%, the OLR increase relative to the uniform state becomes  $11W/m^2$ .

Water vapor affects climate sensitivity through its effect on the slope of OLR vs surface temperature. Following [Held and Soden (2000)], the change  $\Delta T$  in temperature caused by a change  $\Delta F$  in radiative forcing can be written  $\Delta T = \Delta F / \Lambda$ , where the sensitivity coefficient is given by

$$\Lambda = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} OLR|_{\text{specific humidity fixed}} - \beta \tag{3}$$

If free tropospheric water vapor increases with temperature, the additional greenhouse effect reduces OLR compared to what it would be with water vapor fixed, whence the water vapor feedback factor  $\beta$  is positive, and climate sensitivity is increased.

General circulation model simulations of the change in the present climate caused by increasing  $CO_2$  universally show polar amplification, in the sense that the temperature increase at high latitudes is greater than that at low latitudes. Observations of climate change over the past century seem to conform to this expectation. It is important to note that water vapor feedback does not contribute to polar amplification. In fact, for the present pole to equator temperature range, water vapor feedback makes the slope  $\Lambda$  larger at cold temperatures than at warm temperatures (see [Pierrehumbert (2002)]), and hence would lead to tropical rather than polar amplification. Certainly, ice albedo feedback plays a role in polar amplification, but dynamical heat transport and clouds may also contribute. These feedbacks must be sufficiently strong to overcome the tendency of water vapor feedback to put the greatest warming in the tropics.

# 3 The importance of water vapor to the radiation budget

In this section we present a few reminders of the central role that water vapor radiative effects play in determining the Earth's climate. We begin by examining the way in which  $CO_2$ , water vapor, and the presence of subsaturated air individually affect the radiation balance of the Earth, as measured by OLR. To do this, we used the NCAR CCM3 radiation model [Kiehl *et al*] to compute what the Earth's OLR would be under various assumptions. For each case clear-sky OLR was computed on a latitude-longitude grid, with the 3D temperature pattern held fixed at the January monthly mean climatology derived from NCEP reanalysis data [Kalnay et al. (1996)] for 1960-1980. The zonal mean results, shown in Figure 1, are derived from averages of latitude-dependant OLR, rather than being computed on the basis of zonal mean temperature fields. This is important, because radiation is nonlinear in temperature and humidity. We have not, however, taken the effect of daily fluctuations into account. This introduces some error into the analysis, particularly in the midlatitudes.



Figure 1: The effect of atmospheric composition on OLR, with atmospheric and surface temperature held fixed at January climatological values.

As compared to the calculation with no atmospheric greenhouse effect whatsoever,  $CO_2$  by itself brings the tropical OLR down by  $60W/m^2$ . The OLRreduction decreases with latitude in the extratropics, falling to  $25W/m^2$  at 60S in the summer hemisphere, and even smaller values in the winter extratropics. The latitudinal variation in the  $CO_2$  greenhouse effect derives from the vertical structure of the atmosphere: In the tropics there is more contrast between surface and tropopause temperature than there is in the extratropics, and the summer extratropics has more contrast than the winter extratropics. When OLR is recalculated with the observed humidity content of the atmosphere (based on NCEP) in addition to the  $CO_2$  from the previous case, OLRdrops by an additional  $100W/m^2$  in the tropics, and a lesser amount in the extratropics. In fact, at each latitude the greenhouse effect of water vapor is approximately twice that of  $CO_2$ . As noted previously, the atmosphere is highly unsaturated. If we recompute the OLR assuming a saturated troposphere, the OLR is further reduced. The effect is considerable in the tropics, amounting to over  $20W/m^2$  of additional greenhouse effect. In the summer midlatitudes, the effect of saturating the atmosphere is much weaker, amounting to only  $7W/m^2$ at 45S. This is because the monthly mean relative humidity above the boundary layer is already on the order of 50% in the summer extratropics, so one is only doubling the assumed moisture content there. The winter extratropics shows a somewhat weaker effect, on the order of  $5W/m^2$ . These results underestimate the effect of dry air on midlatitude OLR, because the more extreme dry-moist contrast seen in daily data is associated with mobile synoptic systems that average out in the monthly means.

Thus, a much drier atmosphere has the potential to be considerably colder than the present climate, whereas a saturated atmosphere has the potential to be considerably warmer. To translate this statement into more quantitative terms, we have carried out a series of GCM experiments in which the water vapor content of the atmosphere has been artifically altered in the radiation calculation alone. This approach allows one to study the radiative impacts of water vapor in isolation, without needing to confront the formidable task of disentangling them from the myriad other complex influences of the hydrological cycle on climate. It is essentially the same technique employed by [Hall and Manabe (1999)] in their study of water vapor feedback. Our experiments were carried out with the FOAM GCM coupled to a mixed layer ocean and thermodynamic sea ice model, employing realistic present-day geography.<sup>1</sup> Each simulation started from a control run modern climate with unaltered water vapor. The evolution of the climate following the imposition of each altered water vapor assumption is shown in Figure 2. When the radiative effect of water vapor is eliminated, the Earth falls into a globally glaciated snowball state after 8 years. To probe the opposite extreme, we forced the radiation to be calculated under the assumption that the entire troposphere is saturated. Note that this does not mean that the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>When coupled to a mixed layer ocean, the FOAM GCM is essentially a portable, Beowulforiented re-implementation of CCM3. [Kiehl *et al*]. All simulations reported in this chapter were carried out at  $R15(4.5^{o}x7.5^{o})$  resolution. Further details on FOAM can be found at www.mcs.anl.gov/foam.

mixing ratio was fixed at the mixing ratio the control climate would have had in saturation. Rather, each time the radiation module is called, the saturation mixing ratio is computed using the model's temperature field at that instant of time; this mixing ratio field is then used in the radiation calculation. This technique vields additional warming, because the radiative forcing caused by saturating the atmosphere at any given temperature is *itself* subject to amplification by water vapor feedback, as it should be. In the saturated experiment the tropical temperature rises to an impressive 320K after 8 years. It appears to have leveled off at this time, but we do not know if the climate would continue to warm if the integration were carried out for a longer time, since the simulation halted owing to numerical instabilities arising from extreme temperature contrast between the Antarctic glacier and the surrounding warm ocean waters. The tropical warming in the saturated GCM simulation is similar to what we predicted earlier on the basis of an idealized two-box model of the tropics [Pierrehumbert (1999)]. The saturated case shows the potential for water vapor feedbacks to make the climate response to  $CO_2$  much more extreme than found in the extant climate models; there is plenty of dry air around, and if it somehow became saturated the consequences for climate would be catastrophic. The saturated case provides only an upper bound on how bad things could get, but it is nonetheless an upper bound that should be kept in mind when thinking about climate prediction uncertainties.

We also examined the impact of a less extreme assumption about water vapor, results for which are shown in the right panel of Figure 2. In this case, the water vapor was computed using the GCM's standard explicit and parameterized physics package, but the resulting mixing ratio was muliplied by one half before being handed to the radiation scheme. In this run, the equatorial temperature drops 4K, to 296K. Moreover, the fractional sea ice cover doubles, to nearly 10%. The summer snow line on land (not shown) also moves considerably equatorward. All in all, the resulting climate rather resembles the Earth's climate during the Last Glacial Maximum.

Removing the atmosphere's water provokes a Snowball Earth. Saturating the atmosphere provokes a near-runaway greenhouse climate. Reducing water to half that produced by standard parameterizations is sufficient to provoke an ice age. Water vapor is a dynamic and fluctuating quantity with very little intrinsic persistence beyond the monthly time scale. It is striking that, despite this fact, our climate doesn't undergo massive fluctuations in response to spontaneously generated fluctuations in water vapor content. The lack of such fluctuations is a testament to the precision with which water vapor statistics are slaved to the large scale state of the climate.

Water vapor is the atmosphere's single most important greenhouse effect, and it is correct to say that an accurate prediction of climate change hinges on the ability to accurately predict how the water vapor content of the atmosphere will change. It would be a gross error, however, to conclude that the effect of  $CO_2$  is minor in comparison to that of water vapor. The greenhouse effect of  $CO_2$  accounts for fully a third of the total, and this is a very considerable number. Eliminating the  $50W/m^2$  of tropical  $CO_2$  greenhouse effect would drop



Figure 2: Results of GCM simulations in which the radiative impact of water vapor has been artifically altered. Left panel: Time evolution of equatorial temperature for completely saturated and completely dry atmospheres. Right panel: Time evolution of equatorial temperature and global ice cover for a case in which the water vapor used in computing radiation is reduced by a factor of two compared to the standard parameterization.

the tropical temperature by about 25K, once amplified by water vapor feedback. When further amplified by ice-albedo feedback, this would certainly cause the Earth to fall into a snowball state. A warmer, water-rich world subjected to much greater solar radiation than the Earth could indeed be in a state where the addition or removal of a few hundred ppm of  $CO_2$  would make little difference to the climate, but this state of affairs does not by any stretch of the imagination apply to the Earth. Another important difference between  $CO_2$  and water vapor is that the former has a much longer response time because, on Earth, it is removed only by slow biogeochemical processes as opposed to the the rapid condensation and precipitation processes affecting water vapor. Water vapor responds quickly to temperature changes induced by  $CO_2$ , whereas  $CO_2$  would take thousands of years to respond to a change in the hydrological cycle.

## 4 How saturated is the atmosphere?

Above the boundary layer, much of the atmosphere is highly unsaturated, with relative humidities below 10% occurring frequently in both the Tropics and the Extratropics. The satellite snaphot of mid tropospheric humidity on 16 Jan, 1992, shown in Figure 3, serves as a reminder of the prevalence of dry air. The prevalence of dry air can be quantified in terms of the probability distribution of relative humidity. This diagnostic has been extensively studied in the Tropics [Spencer and Braswell (1997), Zhang et al. (2003), Brogniez (2004)]. The midlatitude distribution has not received as much attention, but there is ample evidence that highly undersaturated is common there as well (e.g. [Soden and Bretherton (1993)]). Early work suggested a lognormal distribution of relative humidity [Soden and Bretherton (1993)] but further study has revealed a greater variety of shapes of the relative humidity histogram, including even bimodality [Zhang et al. (2003), Brogniez (2004)]. For now, the detailed shape of the probability distribution will not concern us; it is enough to know that most of the free troposphere is significantly undersaturated. This widespread occurrence of dry air is a manifestation of the fact that from a thermodynamic standpoint, the atmosphere is a non-equilibrium system. In a state of thermodynamic equilibrium with the oceanic moisture reservoir, the entire atmosphere would be saturated. The sorting of air into moist and dry regions represents a state of relatively low entropy, and entropy increases when the pattern is made more uniform [Pauluis and Held (2002)].

It is essential to understand the processes that determine the degree of subsaturation of the atmosphere, and to come to an understanding of how the net result of these processes might change as climate changes. In thinking about atmospheric water vapor content, one must draw a distinction between the net column water content, and the water content in the mid to upper troposphere. The latter accounts for a small fraction of the total water content of the atmosphere, because the lower warmer parts of the atmosphere have much higher saturation vapor pressure and are moreover sufficiently close to the surface water reservoir that they tend to stay relatively saturated. Yet, water vapor, or any



Figure 3: Free tropospheric relative humidity on 16 January, 1992, retrieved from the Meteosat water vapor channel

greenhouse gas, placed near the surface has little effect on OLR, because the low level air temperature is not much lower than the surface temperature. To get a significant greenhouse effect, one must increase the infrared opacity of a portion of the atmosphere that is significantly colder than the surface. Because the radiative effect of water vapor is logarithmic in its concentration, small quantities of water vapor can accomplish this task aloft. This leads to the concept of "Free Tropospheric Humidity," (FTH) or "Upper Tropospheric Humidity" (UTH) which may be loosely defined as the water content of the portion of the atmosphere where water vapor has a considerable effect on the radiation budget. Diversion of a tiny proportion of the atmosphere's net water vapor content would be sufficient to saturate the mid to upper troposphere and radically warm the climate. For example, consider a 50mb thick layer of saturated air near the surface of the tropical ocean, having a temperature of 295K. Less than 3% of the water content of this layer would suffice to completely saturate a layer of equal thickness having a temperature of 250K, such as would be encountered in the tropical mid-troposphere, or at lower altitudes in the extratropics. Clearly, the magnitude of the boundary layer water vapor reservoir is not the limiting factor in determining the free tropospheric humidity.

We pause now to dismiss two fallacies, which unfortunately have not yet completely disappeared from discussions of the subject at hand. The first is the evaporation fallacy, typified by statements like: "In a warmer world there will be more evaporation, which will increase the supply of moisture to the atmosphere and increase its humidity." This is wrong on at least two counts. It's not inevitable that warmth increases evaporation, since evaporation is determined by wind speed and boundary layer relative humidity as well as the boundary layer saturation vapor pressure (which does unquestionably go up with temperature). It is entirely possible for a warmer climate to have less evaporation. An even more serious objection to the evaporation fallacy is that it hopelessly confuses fluxes and reservoirs. Evaporation gives the *rate* at which moisture fluxes through the atmosphere, which is distinct from, and even has different units from, the amount of water left behind in the atmosphere (or more specifically the free troposphere). In this regard, the evaporation fallacy is as absurd as saying that, in comparing the water content of two vessels, the one with the higher rate of filling will contain more water, regardless of whether one of them is a sieve while the other is a watertight bucket. The second fallacy is the *satu*ration fallacy, which states flatly that a warmer atmosphere will tend to become moister because saturation vapor pressure increases with temperature. That is like saying that one always expects to find more water in a bigger bucket than a smaller bucket, no matter how leaky either might be. Clausius-Clapevron does indeed govern the relation between temperature and water content, but in a far subtler way which will begin to become clear shortly.

So what really determines the water vapor content of the free troposphere? It is easiest to think about this problem in a Lagrangian sense, tracking the water content of an air parcel as it wanders about the atmosphere. The fluctuating water content of the parcel results from a balance between the rate at which water is added to the parcel against the rate at which water is removed. Water vapor is removed either by condensation or by diffusion into a neighboring drier air parcel. Let us suppose for the moment that diffusivity is so low that the latter mechanism is unimportant. In that case, water vapor is removed when the air parcel wanders into a region where the local saturation mixing ratio is lower than the current mixing ratio of the parcel, at which time the mixing ratio is reset to the lower local saturation value and the balance is rained out. The net result is that the mixing ratio of an initially saturated parcel after time  $\tau$  is equal to the minimum  $q_s$  encountered along the trajectory during that time. By definition, this is a non-increasing function of  $\tau$ , though there will be long periods of time over which the cumulative minimum remains constant, between those times at which new minima are encountered. Define  $q_{min}(t_o, \tau) \equiv Min(q_s(t); t_o < t < t_o + \tau)$ . Then, the rate at which  $q_{min}$ decreases, which is different for each trajectory, determines the drying rate on that trajectory. The drying is not a continual process, but occurs in fits and starts as new minima are encountered. If no new water is added to the parcel, new condensation events eventually become very infrequent, or cease altogether if the global minimum is encountered. The drying rate determined by this process must be balanced against whatever processes episodically add new water to the air parcel, determining the statistically fluctuating water content of the parcel.

The importance of nonlocal control of tropospheric humidity was clearly revealed in the case study described in [Kelly *et al* (1991)]. Drawing on this insight, [Yang and Pierrehumbert (1994)] examined the general problem of midlatitude dry air production from a lagrangian standpoint, and pointed out the importance of the  $q_{min}$  statistic. The most prominent dry air pool in the atmosphere is found in the subtropics, and over the next several years a number of investigators developed an interest in large scale control of subtropical humidity, employing lagrangian techniques [Emanuel and Pierrehumbert (1996), Salathe and Hartmann (1997), Soden (1998), Pierrehumbert (1998), Pierrehumbert and Roca (1998), Sherwood and Dessler (2000). It was an idea whose time had come, and these studies provided a reassuring counterpoint to the then-emerging suspicion that everything was controlled by parameterized microphysics, and everything was inscrutable. In recent years, the term time of last saturation model has gained currency as a name for the general approach. We prefer the broader term advection-condensation model. If one knows the mixing ratio of the air parcel at its destination, then one can determine the time of last saturation by following the back-trajectory until that mixing ratio becomes saturated. However, if the aim is to *predict* the mixing ratio at the destination, determining the time of last saturation requires some assumption about the processes which, at some time in the past, added moisture to the air parcel.

Figure 4 shows temperature and pressure following a typical midlatitude trajectory. The temperature and pressure are nearly perfectly correlated, so minima in  $q_s$  are very nearly coincident with minima in T or p. The trajectory executes a wavelike motion under the influence of midlatitude synoptic eddies, swinging between the subtropical surface where the temperature reaches 290K, and the high latitude tropopause, where the temperature drops to 230K. If

there were no moisture sources in the free troposphere, then we would know that when the trajectory reaches the 800mb level on day 5, its mixing ratio would be no greater than  $1.4 \cdot 10^{-4}$ , the value corresponding to the minimum at day 0 (computed with 232K and 500mb). If the parcel becomes saturated when it encounters the ground at day 7, then it remains at saturation through day 13 since the temperature and saturation mixing ratio decrease monotonically through that day. However, when the parcel lands on the 800mb surface on day 18, its specific humidity would be  $6.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$ , corresponding to the minimum at day 16. Based on the local saturation mixing ratio on day 18, the relative humidity would be 25%. This trajectory illustrates an important principle: in order to know the mixing ratio at a given point on the trajectory, one only needs to know the history going back to the time of last saturation. Information about earlier times is erased whenever saturation occurs. The trajectory also illustrates the fact that midlatitude trajectories typically dip into the subtropical boundary layer every 15 days or so, where they have an opportunity to pick up new moisture. This is probably the main moisture source for the midlatitude free troposphere.

Most midlatitude trajectories have a character qualitatively like that shown in Figure 4, but tropical trajectories are quite different. Isentropes are flat there, and there is little baroclinic eddy activity. Trajectories are dominated by organized rising motion in convective regions, ejection due to steady outflow or tropical transient eddies, and slow descent in the large scale organized subsidence regions (see [Pierrehumbert (1998), Pierrehumbert and Roca (1998)]). The tropical model of [Minschwaner and Dessler (2004)] provides perhaps the simplest realization of this class of models, in that the trajectories consist simply of ejection of saturated air from convective regions, followed by monotone subsidence at a rate determined by infrared radiative cooling. This idealized trajectory model neglects the lateral mixing incorporated in [Pierrehumbert and Roca (1998)], but nonetheless yields a number of insights concerning the nature of water vapor feedback. Generally speaking, one can expect the statistics characterizing trajectories to differ considerably between the tropics and midlatitudes.

If we consider an ensemble of trajectories, the behavior of the minimum  $q_s$  statistic can be characterized by the probability density  $Q_{min}(q_{min}|q_o, \tau, t)$ , which give the probability that the minimum  $q_s$  encountered between time t and  $t + \tau$  is near  $q_{min}$ , given that  $q_s = q_o$  at the place there the particle is located at time t. Obviously  $Q_{min} = 0$  for  $q_{min} > q_o$ . If the process is statistically stationary,  $Q_{min}$  will be independent of t. If one is trying to understand the water vapor at a specified point, it is most convenient to deal with back trajectories, corresponding to negative  $\tau$ . We are more interested in where the air arriving at the target point came from than in where it is going. If the trajectory process is statistically reversible, all statistics of back trajectories have the same behavior as the corresponding statistics of forward trajectories, and in particular  $Q_{min}(q_{min}|q_o, \tau, t) = Q_{min}(q_{min}|q_o, -\tau, t)$ . Somewhat counterintuitively, Brownian motion is statistically reversible in this sense. It is widely assumed, and probably true, that the atmospheric trajectory problem is statistically reversible, though we will not explicitly make use of the assumption in



Figure 4: Pressure and temperature along a 3D air parcel trajectory launched at 500mb in the midlatitude Pacific. The trajectory was computed on the basis of 4x daily NCEP horizontal and vertical velocity fields.

our calculations below.

As an example of the use of back-trajectory statistics, let's suppose that we wish to know the probability distribution of mixing ratio q in some patch of the 500mb surface at a given time t, and are willing to assume that the entire atmosphere was saturated at time  $t-\tau$ , and moreover that there were no moisture sources in the intervening time. To solve this problem, we use  $Q_{min}$  for the ensemble of trajectories which arrive at the patch at the specified time. For simplicity, we will assume that  $q_{q}$  is constant within the patch, though this is an assumption that can be easily relaxed. Because we assumed that all parcels are saturated at time  $t-\tau$  (though each has a different  $q_s$ , appropriate to its location at that time), the mixing ratio each parcel winds up with by time t is simply the minimum  $q_s$  encountered along the trajectory. Hence, the probability density function ("PDF") of q in the patch is  $Q_{min}(q|q_o, -\tau, t)$ , which is concentrated on progressively drier values as  $\tau$  is made larger. Note that this distribution is entirely distinct from the distribution of *initial* saturations at time  $t - \tau$ . These could all be in the tropical boundary layer and have very high values, and the final humidity at time t would still become small. A *passive* tracer, with no sources or sinks, would retain its initial value, so that it's PDF at later times is determined solely by its initial PDF, with no knowledge of the nature of the intervening path required. In contrast, the statistics of moisture are sensitive to the entire history of the path taken. The sensitivity to probabilities that depend on entire paths is one of the chief mathematical novelties of the water vapor problem, and the source of most of the theoretical challenges.

 $Q_{min}$  characterizes the drying process, and one needs a corresponding probabilistic description of the moisture source in order to complete a theory of the atmospheric humidity. A moisture source such as evaporation of precipitation falling through dry air could add just a bit of moisture to an air parcel without saturating it. However, let's idealize the moisture source as a series of saturation events, which occur randomly in time, with the chance that a saturation event will occur after waiting for a time  $\tau$  described by a probability distribution  $P_{sat}(\tau)$ . Then, the PDF of specific humidity is given by the convolution

$$Q(q|q_o, t) = \int_0^\infty P_{sat}(\tau) Q_{min}(q|q_o, -\tau, t) d\tau$$
(4)

where  $q_o$  is the saturation mixing ratio at the point under consideration. If the trajectory process is statistically stationary, Q will be independent of t. In this formulation, the moisture dynamics is characterized by the two PDF's  $P_{sat}$  and  $Q_{min}$ . In order to fully understand water vapor feedbacks, we need to understand how these two PDFs change as climate changes. This is a tall order. Some examples of the trajectory statistics in action will be given in Section 5 for idealized trajectory models, and Section 6 for realistic trajectories.

There are three difficulties with the trajectory approach, two of them technical, and one of a more fundamental nature. First, there may be mixing of moisture amongst air parcels arising from small scale turbulent motions. Because large scale resolved strain causes exponential amplification of gradients [Yang and Pierrehumbert (1994), Pierrehumbert (1998)] even a weak effective diffusivity would eventually cause significant mixing. The mixing is likely to be dominated by vertical rather than horizontal mixing processes, for the reasons discussed in [Havnes and Anglade (1997)]. Incorporation of mixing greatly complicates the calculation, because the moisture evolution on one trajectory becomes dependant on the moisture evolution of all other trajectories which pass in its vicinity during the past. Explicit calculation then calls for either Eulerian methods (at the expense of the need to confront the difficult problem of unwanted numerical diffusion), or simultaneously integrating the problem on a sufficiently large swarm of trajectories. Mixing between moist and dry air parcels is important because it both reduces the frequency of very dry air, and because the dilution increases the subsaturation of the moist air, and delays condensation. It is of utmost importance to determine how much small scale mixing enters into the tropospheric moisture problem in the real atmosphere, and comparison of observations with results of the non-diffusive trajectory calculation can provide a means of doing so. There has been considerable attention to the diagnosis of small scale mixing in the stratosphere (see [Haynes and Anglade (1997), Legras et al. (2003)] and references therein), but the analogous question for tropospheric water vapor is unresolved. The second limitation of the trajectory method is similar to the mixing problem: precipitation generated by large scale condensation along a trajectory may evaporate as it falls through dry air, adding moisture to trajectories it encounters. This, too couples trajectories. It can be regarded as just another form of vertical mixing.

At the cloud scale, we confront a much harder problem, particularly in tropical convective regions. Models and analyses produce a large scale rising motion in such regions, diagnostically associated with the moisture convergence required to feed the convective precipitation. This upward motion, which lifts all trajectories, must be regarded as wholly fictitious. In reality, virtually all of the air in the convective region is subsiding, and the upward mass flux is concentrated in cumulus towers covering only a tiny fraction of the area of the convective region. The resolved large-scale vertical velocity correctly represents the net upward mass flux, but is not typical of the velocity of individual fluid parcels in the convective region. The net result is that the detrainment obtained from large scale trajectory simulations is always saturated, whereas the cloud scale motions offer ample opportunities for the detrained air to be substantially undersaturated. The mixing of moisture between moist and dry air at cloud and subcloud scales engages microphysical issues of the sort discussed by [Tompkins and Emanuel (2000)], and ultimately determines the degree of subsaturation. The success of models with saturated detrainment at reproducing water vapor observed in nonconvective regions (e.g. [Minschwaner and Dessler (2004), Pierrehumbert and Roca (1998)]) suggests that the subsaturation must not be too extreme in the typical case, but the whole matter requires further study.

## 5 A few illustrative models

It is instructive to consider some simple one-dimensional models of the connection between mixing, transport and drying. These models are offered in order to highlight some of the basic issues in cases which can be solved completely. We do not pretend that any of these models yield good representations of the atmosphere's actual water vapor distribution. Both of the models we consider in this section are formulated in terms of an abstract spatial coordinate y. The only thing we need to know about y is the saturation mixing ratio  $q_s$  as a function of y. We may think of y as the North-South distance following an isentropic surface, which yields a decreasing  $q_s$  because the surface becomes generally higher (hence colder) as the pole is approached. The coordinate could represent North-South distance at a fixed mid-tropospheric pressure level, as in typical one layer energy balance models. With a suitable increase in mixing rates, y could equally well represent altitude, with latitude and longitude held fixed; in this case the mixing parameterization is to be thought of as a surrogate for convection rather than the larger scale, more ponderous, large scale advection. If temperature decreases linearly with y, then the Clausius-Clapevron relation implies that  $q_s$ decreases approximately exponentially with y. A decrease of pressure with ysomewhat offsets the exponential decay, but not so much so as to prevent us from using  $q_s(y) = \exp(-y)$  as a useful conceptual model of the atmosphere's saturation mixing ratio.

#### 5.1 The Diffusion-Condensation model

We wish to study the interplay of transport and condensation. Diffusion is the simplest model of transport, and is moreover employed as a surrogate for eddy transport of water vapor in many idealized climate models (e.g. [Weaver *et al* (2001), Petoukhov *et al* (2000)]). Hence, we first examine a model in which moisture is represented by the mean mixing ratio q(y, t), which is stipulated to satisfy a diffusion equation

$$\partial_t q - D\partial_{yy} q = -S(q, q_s) \tag{5}$$

In this equation, the sink S(q) instantaneously resets q to  $q_s$  whenever diffusion causes it to exceed  $q_s(y)$ . The instantaneous sink may be taken as a limiting form of the function

$$S(q,q_s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\tau}(q-q_s(y,t)) & \text{for } q > q_s \\ 0 & \text{for } q \le q_s \end{cases}$$
(6)

as  $\tau \to 0$ . The mathematical novelty that a sink of this form adds to the problem is that it makes the problem nonlinear. Condensation can be thought of as a particularly simple form of unary chemical reaction, and in fact many of the issues we encounter in the condensation problem are generic to a broad class of nonlinear chemical reactions.

If  $d^2q_s/dy^2 > 0$  everywhere, then  $q(y) = q_s(y)$  is a steady solution to Eq. 5 in an infinite domain, with moisture loss by condensation balanced by moisture flux from  $-\infty$ . Next, we consider a simple initial value problem. Suppose that y extends from 0 to  $\infty$ , and impose the boundary condition  $\partial_y q = 0$  at y = 0so that there are no sources of new moisture. In this case, the condensation caused by diffusion of moisture from regions of large  $q_s$  into regions of small  $q_s$ will deplete the moisture content. If the atmosphere is initially saturated, then there is some Y(t) such that  $q(y) = q_s(y)$  for y > Y(t); this is the region in which condensation is taking place. For y < Y(t) the air is undersaturated, and satisfies the diffusion equation with no sources or sinks. As moisture is drawn out of the system by condensation, Y(t) increases. If  $q_s$  is bounded below by a positive constant, then diffusion eventually reduces q so much that  $q < q_s$ everywhere, at which time condensation ceases and Y is no longer defined. Suppose now that  $q_s \to 0$  as  $y \to \infty$ , so that Y is defined and finite at any given time, but becomes infinite as time progresses. The question of the long time asymptotic behavior of Y then becomes well posed, and in fact has a fairly simple and general answer. Examination of some numerical solutions suggests that qis approximately parabolic in the noncondensing region after a sufficiently long time has passed. Let us then look for a solution with  $q = a - by^2$  for y < Y(t). The validity of this assumption can be justified after the fact, once we have determined Y(t). The matching conditions at Y and the requirement that q satisfy the diffusion equation in the subsaturated region imply

$$a - bY^{2} = q_{s}(Y)$$
  

$$-2bY = q'_{s}(Y)$$
  

$$\frac{da}{dt} = -2bD$$
(7)

Taking the time derivative of the first equation and substituting from the other two results in the following differential equation for Y:

$$-2bD + \frac{1}{2}q'_{s}(Y)\frac{dY}{dt} + \frac{1}{2}q''_{s}(Y)Y\frac{dY}{dt} = q'_{s}(Y)\frac{dY}{dt}$$
(8)

or, equivalently,

$$Y \cdot (1 - Y \frac{q_s''(Y)}{q_s'(Y)}) \frac{dY}{dt} = 2D$$
(9)

The quantity  $q''_s/q'_s$  has dimensions of inverse length. For  $q_s = exp(-y^2/\sigma^2)$ it is  $-2Y/\sigma^2$  at large Y, for  $q_s = exp(-y/L)$  it has the constant value -1/Land for  $q_s = A/y^{\alpha}$  it is  $-(\alpha + 1)/Y$ . Suppose that  $q''_s/q'_s = AY^n$  at large Y. Then, if  $n \leq -1$  the second term multiplying the derivative on the left hand side of Eq. 9 is at most order unity, and the equation can be integrated to yield  $Y(t) \sim \sqrt{(Dt)}$ . On the other hand, if n > -1 the second term dominates and we find  $Y \sim (Dt)^{1/(n+3)}$ . It is interesting that rapid decay of  $q_s$  can cause the thickness of the subsaturated region to grow more slowly than the diffusive length scale,  $\sqrt{(Dt)}$ . Once Y(t) is known, the humidity decay curve is obtained using

$$q(0) = a = q_s(Y) - \frac{1}{2}Yq'_s(Y) = (1 - \frac{1}{2}Yq'_s(Y)/q_s(Y))q_s(Y)$$
(10)

At large Y,  $q'_s/q_s$  will generally have the same scaling as  $q''_s/q'_s$ ; by assumption, it is always negative. If  $q_s$  decays exponentially then  $q'_s/q_s$  is a negative constant and the second term in the factor multiplying  $q_s$  dominates; in this case  $q(0) \sim$  $Yq_s(Y)$ , and hence decays like  $t^{1/3}exp(-ct^{1/3})$  for some constant c. If  $q_s$  decays algebraically, then both terms in the factor are order unity, so  $q(0) \sim q_s(Y)$  and hence decays like  $t^{-\alpha/2}$ , assuming  $q_s$  decays like  $y^{-\alpha}$  at large y. In either case, it can easily be shown by integrating q(y) that the total water vapor remaining in the atmosphere decays like Y(t)q(0,t) provided  $q_s$  has a finite integral.

Thus, moisture is drawn out of the system by diffusion from subsaturated regions neighboring the boundary ("the ground") into regions of saturated air with lower specific humidity aloft, where it can condense. The rate of decay is determined by the rate at which  $q_s$  decays with increasing y aloft. Even for exponentially decaying  $q_s$ , the moisture decay is slower than exponential, owing to the time required for moisture to diffuse into the ever-retreating condensing region. Figure 5 shows numerical solutions to the problem with  $q_s = exp(-y)$ , together with the theoretical result for Y(t). The agreement between simulation and theory for this quantity is excellent.

As the next stage in our exploration of the diffusion-condensation equation, we exhibit the steady state response to a moisture source. We introduce the moisture source, by holding  $q = rq_s(0)$  at y = 0 (with r < 1). If we assume  $d^2q_s/dy^2 > 0$  as before, the equilibrium moisture distribution has the simple form

$$q = \begin{cases} q_s(y) & \text{for } y > y_s \\ rq_s(0) + \frac{(q_s(y_s) - rq_s(0))y}{y_s} & \text{for } y < y_s \end{cases}$$
(11)

where  $y_s$  is chosen to make the flux continuous, i.e.

$$\frac{dq_s}{dy}(y_s) = \frac{(q_s(y_s) - rq_s(0))}{y_s}$$
(12)

All condensation is at  $y > y_s$ , and  $y_s \to 0$  as  $r \to 1$ , in which case the whole atmosphere becomes saturated. Further, although the undersaturation for  $y < y_s$  relies on mixing, q(y) is independent of the magnitude of D. However, the rate of moisture flux into the condensation region, and hence the precipitation rate, is proportional to D. Note that the moisture sink produces air that has a lower mixing ratio than the source, even in regions where no condensation is taking place. In effect, this is due to dilution of moist air with drier air from larger y. Still, the diffusive model cannot produce an undersaturated layer unless the source air at y = 0 is undersaturated.

Another interesting configuration is the *cold trap*, in which  $q_s(y) = q_c < 1$  in a small region near y = 0, while  $q_s(y) = 1$  elsewhere. A local minimum of this sort could be taken as a crude representation of the minimum occurring at the tropopause, with y measuring altitude. However, the cold trap is also relevant



Figure 5: Numerical results for the freely decaying diffusion-condensation model with a no-flux barrier at y = 0. Left panel: Time evolution of the point Y(t) bounding the subsaturated region, and of total moisture in the system. The short-dashed line gives the fit to the asymptotic result  $Y \sim t^{1/3}$ . Right panel: The profile of specific humidity at the times indicated on the curves.

to the case in which y represents horizontal distance on a fairly level surface, such as the Tropopause Transition Level (TTL) in the Tropics; in this case, the minimum corresponds to the cold conditions occurring over the high tropopause region above the Western Pacific Warm Pool, and we seek to understand the global drying effect of this region. In the freely decaying case subject to initial condition q(y) = 1 in an unbounded domain, the cold trap creates a zone around itself in which q approaches  $q_c$ . The width of this dry zone increases in proportion to  $\sqrt{Dt}$ . Alternately, we can seek an equilibrium solution by imposing q = 1 at y = -1 and a no flux condition  $\partial_y q = 0$  at y = 1. The steady solution in this case is simply  $q = 1 - (1 - q_c)(y + 1)$  for  $-1 \le y \le 0$  and  $q = q_c$  for  $y \ge 0$ . In accord with intuition, moisture is reset to the cold trap mixing ratio when air passes through it. We can also impose a moisture source at the right hand boundary, by replacing the no-flux condition by  $q = q_1$  at y = 1. In this case the solution is

$$q = \begin{cases} 1 - (1 - q_c)(y + 1) & \text{for } -1 \le y \le 0\\ q_c + (q_1 - q_c)y & \text{for } 0 \le y \le 1 \end{cases}$$
(13)

provided that  $q_c \leq \frac{1}{2}(1+q_1)$ . If this condition is met, the solution takes the form of a bent stick, with the cold trap depressing the value at the center of the domain. However, if  $q_c > \frac{1}{2}(1+q_1)$ , diffusive dilution of the moist air reduces the humidity at the midpoint of the domain to such an extent that no condensation occurs; in this case, the solution is simply a straight line linking the limiting values at the two boundaries, and is a solution of the conventional diffusion equation. In Section 5.3 we will treat the same configuration using a stochastic model of water vapor, and find some intriguing differences in the behavior.

There can be no sustained condensation in a region where  $d^2q_s/dy^2 < 0$ . In such a region, if  $q = q_s$  initially, then diffusion will immediately reduce q to below its saturation value everywhere, halting condensation. For example, suppose  $q_s = exp(-y^2)$  in an infinite domain, and that  $q = q_s$  initially. After the initial instant, condensation halts for |y| < 1, though it continues for |y| > 1 where the curvature is positive.

The summary behavior of the 1D diffusion-condensation problem is quite simple. It creates saturated regions embedded in regions where  $q''_s$  is positive and  $q_s$  is small. These drain moisture out of the surrounding air, creating subsaturated regions in the vicinity. Apart from the possible inadequacies of diffusion as a representation of the mixing, the main shortcoming of the diffusion-condensation model is that it represents the moisture field in terms of a single concentration q at each y. In reality, a small box drawn about y will contain an intermingling of moist and dry air. The diffusion model is incapable of predicting a probability distribution function for moisture; worse, the neglect of fluctuations fundamentally misrepresents the drying process itself, owing to the nonlinear nature of condensation. The next class of models we shall study rectifies this shortcoming of the diffusion-condensation model.

#### 5.2 Stochastic drying: Initial value problem

As a counterpoint to the diffusion-condensation problem we pose a simplified random walk version of the stochastic drying process introduced in Section 4. Suppose that an ensemble of particles execute independant random walks in an unbounded 1D domain with coordinate y. Particle j is located at point  $y_j(t)$ , and is tagged with a water vapor mixing ratio  $q_j$ , which can change with time if condensation occurs. The saturation mixing ratio field  $q_s(y)$  is assumed to be monotonically decreasing with y. The particles are initially saturated (i.e.  $q_j(0) = q_s(y_j(0))$ ), but whenever they find themselves at a place where  $q_j >$  $q_s(y_j)$ , then  $q_j$  is instantaneously reset to the local  $q_s$ . Under these conditions, what is the probability distribution of mixing ratio for the particles located at point y at time t?

This problem is readily solved in terms of the maximum excursion statistics for Brownian motion (i.e. a random walk with velocity  $\delta$ -correlated in time). We make use of the fact that the statistics for forward trajectories are identical to those for backward trajectories, and pose the question as follows: for a particle which is located at  $y_b$  at time t = 0, what is the probability that it was located at  $y_a$  at time  $t = -\tau$ ? What is the probability that the maximum y visited in the time interval  $[-\tau, 0]$  was  $y_{max}$ ? Since  $q_s$  is monotononically decreasing, the latter probability gives us the probability of  $q_{min}$ . If we define the random walk as satisfying dy/dt = v(t) with  $\langle v(t)v(t') \rangle = 2D\delta(t - t')$ , then the probability that the parcel landing at  $y_b$  came from  $y_a$  is given by the familiar Gaussian form, just as if we were running the trajectory forwards rather than backwards [Karatzas and Shreve (1991), Lesigne (2005)]:

$$p(y_b|y_a,\tau) = p(y_b - y_a,\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D\tau}} e^{-(y_b - y_a)^2/(4D\tau)}$$
(14)

This probability density satisfies a diffusion equation with diffusivity D.

It is a remarkable fact that the probability of the maximum excursion along the path, which is a probability distribution on the space of *paths*, can be determined in terms of the probability of the endpoint given in Eq. 14. This is a consequence of the *reflection principle*, discussed in [Karatzas and Shreve (1991), Lesigne (2005)], and holds for a broad class of random-walk processes. For the unbounded Brownian motion, the maximum excursion probability is found to be simply

$$P_{max}(y_{max}|y_b,\tau) = \begin{cases} 2p(y_{max} - y_b,\tau) & \text{for } y_{max} \ge y_b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(15)

where p is the probability defined in Eq. 14. We know that the maximum position visited in the past can be no less than the position at which the particle finds itself at t = 0, which is why the probability vanishes for  $y_{max} < y_b$ . For  $y_{max} > y_b$ , the reflection principle implies that the probability that  $y_{max}$  is the maximum position visited is twice the probability that the particle *originated* at  $y_{max}$ . This seems too good to be true, but the mathematics is incontrovertible.

Somewhat surprisingly, the most probable  $y_{max}$  is the terminal position  $y_b$ . In other words, the most probable situation is that the value of y where the particle is found at t = 0 is the greatest it has visited over the past time  $\tau$ . Going backwards in time, most trajectories wander to smaller values of y and never come back to the starting position. This is a consequence of the domain being unbounded below, which allows plenty of room in the domain  $y < y_b$  for the trajectories to get lost in. Note, however, that the probability of the most probable excursion decays like  $1/\sqrt{(D\tau)}$  as  $\tau \to \infty$ , so that trajectories with  $y_{max} = y_b$  become rather improbable. For back trajectories of length  $\tau$ , values of  $y_{max}$  as large as  $y_b + \sqrt{D\tau}$  are nearly as probable as the most probable one.

Back trajectories with  $y_{max} = y_b$  are saturated when they reach the target positon  $y_b$ , since the particle has never visited a place with lower  $q_s$  than it has at its destination. Because the probability of such trajectories decays very slowly with  $\tau$ , the mean moisture content of an ensemble of trajectories landing at  $y_b$  also decays very slowly. To make these ideas more explicit, let's consider the case  $q_s = exp(-y)$ . In this case, a trajectory with maximum excursion  $y_{max}$ will produce a mixing ratio  $q = exp(-y_{max})$  when it lands at  $y_b$ . Solving for  $y_{max}$  yields  $y_{max} = -\ln(q)$ . In addition,  $y_b = -\ln(q_s(y_b))$ , whence  $y_{max} - y_b =$  $-\ln(q/q_s(y_b))$ . This can be substituted for the argument of p in Eq. 15. From this, we learn that the PDF of  $-\ln(q/q_s)$  at a given point  $y_b$  is in fact the PDF of  $y_{max} - y_b$  for back trajectories landing at that point. The PDF of humidity may be described as a "truncated lognormal" in the sense that  $-\ln(q)$  is normally distributed for  $q \leq q_s$ , but the probability is identically zero for  $q > q_s$ .

If we want the PDF of q itself, we must transform the density using  $-d \ln(q/q_s) = -q^{-1}dq$ . Then, letting  $Q(q, y_b, \tau)$  be the PDF of q at point  $y_b$ , at a time  $\tau$  after an everywhere saturated state, we have

$$Q(q, y_b, \tau) = \begin{cases} 2q^{-1}p(-\ln(q/q_s(y_b), \tau) & \text{for } q \le q_s(y_b) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(16)

The behavior of  $P_{max}$  and Q is shown in Figure 6. Note that while the probability of  $y_{max} - y_b$  (or equivalently. of  $-\ln(q/q_s)$ ) has its peak at zero, corresponding to saturated air, the peak probability of q shifts towards dry air as time progresses. This is a consequence of the  $q^{-1}$  factor involved in transforming the probability density from a density in  $\ln(q)$  to a density in q. The population shifts toward unsaturated air as time progresses, though there is a long moist tail owing to the persistent high probability of trajectories that do not visit cold places. The stochastic model generates dry air in the unbounded domain, whereas the diffusion-condensation model does not. The difference in the two models lies in the fact that the latter represents moisture by a single mean value at any y, and therefore cannot reflect the fact that amongst the ensemble of particles making up such an average, some have visited very cold places in the past, and therefore have become very dry.

The mean moisture for the ensemble of particles landing at  $y_b$  is obtained



Figure 6: Probability density functions for  $-\ln q/q_s(y_b)$  (top panel) and for q (bottom panel) for particles executing an unbounded random walk in a saturation field  $q_s(y) = exp(-y)$ . Results are shown at t = 0, under the assumption that the entire domain was saturated at the time  $t = -\tau$  indicated on each curve. For the exponential saturation profile used in these results, the PDF of  $\ln(q/q_s(y_b))$  is identical to the PDF of the maximum excursion of back trajectories, i.e.  $y_{max} - y_b$ .

by carrying out the integral

$$\langle q \rangle = \int_{0}^{q_s} qQ(q, y_b, \tau) dq = \int_{0}^{q_s} 2p(-\ln(q/q_s(y_b), \tau) dq)$$
 (17)

At large  $\tau$ , p becomes nearly constant outside of an infinitesimal interval near q = 0, which contributes nothing to the integral in the limit of  $\tau \to \infty$ . Since  $p = 1/\sqrt{4\pi D\tau}$  in this limit, we conclude that  $\langle q \rangle = q_s(y_b)/\sqrt{4\pi D\tau}$  at large times. The humidity in the unbounded random walk model exhibits a slow algebraic decay, owing to the high probability of back-trajectories wandering off to regions with large  $q_s$  and never returning. The fact that the moisture decays at all nonetheless stands in stark contrast to the diffusion-condensation model in an unbounded domain, for which  $q = q_s(y)$  is an exact steady state, and the air remains saturated for all times.

The unbounded case is instructive, but it is very unlike the real atmosphere, in that the temperature (and hence saturation mixing ratio) is strictly bounded above by the maximum values prevailing at the tropical surface. Real air parcels do not have the liberty of wandering off into arbitrarily warm regions. The random walk model can be made more realistic by imposing a reflecting barrier at y = 0, which causes the saturation mixing ratio to be bounded above. The maximum excursion statistics for a bounded random walk of this type can also be obtained by application of the reflecting principle, but the answer comes in the form of an infinite sum of shifted Gaussians, which is rather tedious to work with. The results we present here are based instead on Monte-Carlo simulation, with ensembles of 10,000 particles or more. The PDF does not sense the presence of the barrier until such time that  $\sqrt{D\tau}$  becomes comparable to  $y_b$ , and particles have had enough time to frequently reach the barrier. For longer times, the chief effect of the barrier is to shift the most probable maximum excursion to values greater than  $y_b$ , which moreover increase without bound as time progresses. It can be shown that the maximum excursion scales with  $\sqrt{D\tau}$  at large  $\tau$ . This behavior can be clearly seen in the upper panel of Figure 7, where we show the time evolution of the PDF of  $y_{max} - y_b$  computed at  $y_b = .5$ . Recall that this PDF is identical to the PDF of  $-\ln q/q_s$  if  $q_s(y) = exp(-y)$ . Thus, with a barrier, the most probable value of  $-\ln q$  shifts towards drier values as  $\tau$ increases. In the lower panel of the figure, we show the PDF of q itself. As in the no-barrier case, the peak shifts toward dry values as  $\tau$  increases. However, the pronounced moist tail disappears after a moderate time has passed, and nearly-saturated air becomes extremely rare.

The difference with the no-barrier case shows up even more strongly in the decay of ensemble mean humidity, shown in Figure 8. Instead of the slow algebraic decay found produced by the unbounded random walk, the bounded case yields a rapid decay of the form  $exp(-B\sqrt{Dt})$ , in accordance with the fact that the most probable maximum excursion increases with time. In Figure 8 we also compare the stochastic result with the moisture decay yielded by solving the diffusion-condensation equation subject to a no-flux boundary condition at y=0. The diffusivity was chosen so as to correctly reproduce the rate of spread of a cloud of particles in the random walk case. We see that the stochastic process



Figure 7: As for Figure 6, but for the case with a reflecting barrier at y = 0. In this case, the results depend on  $y_b$ . These PDF's were computed at  $y_b = .5$ . As before, the top panel can be regarded as the PDF of  $y_{max} - y_b$ .



Figure 8: Decay of ensemble mean specific humidity at y = .5 for the bounded random walk with a barrier at y=0. The thin black curve gives the fit to a stretched exponential decay of the form  $exp(-B\sqrt{Dt})$  The upper thick, solid curve gives the moisture decay for the diffusion-condensation model computed in the same domain, and with the same diffusivity. The saturation mixing ratio profile is  $q_s(y) = \exp(-y)$ 

dries air much faster than the corresponding diffusion-condensation process. The roots of this difference lie in the nonlinearity of condensation.

To shed further light on the comparison between diffusion-condensation and the random walk model, we show the profile of the ensemble mean moisture at a fixed time in Figure 9,together with the corresponding moisture profile from the diffusion-condensation calculation. At every y, the stochastic process yields drier air than diffusion-condensation. This happens because some of the particles in each ensemble are significantly moister than the mean, and can therefore lose water by condensation upon being slightly displaced. We can also see an important distinction already familiar from the unbounded case: the stochastic process generates subsaturated air *in situ* even in a region with  $d^2q_s/dy^2 > 0$ , whereas such regions remain saturated in the diffusion-condensation model, until such time as dry air invades from smaller values of y. The stochastic model thus lacks the sharp moving front separating condensing from noncondensing



Figure 9: Profile of ensemble-mean q at time  $D\tau = 1.44$ , for the random walk model with a barrier at y = 0. The corresponding profile for the diffusion-condensation model is shown for comparison.

regions.

To those familiar with the derivation of the diffusion equation in terms of random walk processes, it may come as some surprise that the random walk model of humidity has different behavior from the diffusion-condensation model. If qis a passive tracer, the ensemble average value obtained at each point by running a series of Brownian back-trajectories to some initial time does satisfy a diffusion equation. Indeed, this technique has been used to obtain Monte-Carlo solutions to the diffusion equation evaluated along aircraft tracks, without the need to solve the diffusion equation globally [Legras *et al.* (2003)]. Condensation destroys the means by which the diffusion equation for the mean field is derived, precisely because the operation of coarse- graining (taking the average of concentrations from many trajectories) does not commute with the operation of condensation. A saturated and dry air parcel, when averaged together, will not condense until subjected to substantial cooling. The same two air parcels, tracked separately, will yield condensation immediately on the slightest cooling, because one is saturated.

The difficulty which emerges from the condensation process is in fact endemic to all systems where the tracer evolves according to some nonlinear process, including most chemical reactions. The difficulty of describing the evolution of the coarse-grained concentration field by means of a partial differential equation calls into question the very notion of an 'eddy diffusivity' when nonlinear processes and unresolved small scale fluctuations are involved. We suggest that the random walk model with a barrier provides a minimal conceptual model for the generation of dry air in the atmosphere. It generates a rate and a profile; it generates unsaturated air in the interior of regions with exponentially decaying saturation mixing ratio, without waiting for dry air to invade from the boundary; it predicts the probability distribution of subsaturation, which is a necessary input to radiation and other nonlinear processes; it has much in common with the way the real atmosphere generates dry air. Thus, it is a much better conceptual model than diffusion-condensation. As we saw in Section 5.1, when the diffusion-condensation model is supplied with moisture from a boundary layer that (like the observed one) is nearly saturated, it saturates the entire atmosphere except for a thin strip near the ground. In the next section, we will see that the random walk model is free from this shortcoming.

#### 5.3 Forced equilibria in the stochastic problem.

We now examine the statistical equilibria obtained by balancing the drying processes of the preceding section against some simple models of the moisture source. As a first example, we introduce a moisture source into the bounded random walk model by supposing that parcels are reset to saturation when they encounter the boundary at y = 0. At large times, the humidity at the target point is no longer determined by the maximum y (minimum  $q_s$ ) encountered over an arbitrarily long time  $\tau$ . The statistic of interest is now the maximum y attained since the most recent encounter with the boundary. This statistic is illustrated in Figure 10. Back trajectories that take a long time to hit the boundary have a higher probability of significantly overshooting the target position, and therefore yield drier values.

The equilibrium mixing ratio PDF is given by Eq 4, where the moistening probability  $P_{sat}(\tau)$  is the probability that a trajectory starting at y first encounters the boundary after time  $\tau$ . This encounter time probability is also a classical statistic studied in the theory of Brownian motion, where it is sometimes referred to as a "stopping time problem." It can be derived from a maximum negative excursion statistic analogous to Eq. 15, giving the probability that the smallest values visited was  $y_{min}$ , which necessarily is less than  $y_b$ . There is a characteristic time  $\tau_D = y_b^2/D$  in the problem. For  $t \ll \tau_D$ , few particles have had time to encounter the boundary, because the width of spread of the cloud starting at  $y_b$  is smaller than the distance to the boundary. For  $\tau \gg \tau_D$ , most particles have already encountered the boundary, and the probability of a new first encounter decays like  $\tau^{-3/2}$ . When plugged into the convolution Eq. 4, these results imply that the equilibrium moisture PDF looks something like  $Q_{min}(q|q(y_b), \tau_D)$ , that is, like a freely decaying drying process that has only been allowed to run for a time  $\tau_D$ . However, because of the fat  $\tau^{-3/2}$  tail of the encounter time PDF, there is also a considerable additional population of dry air, arising from trajectories that have taken much longer then  $\tau_D$  to encounter the boundary.

Results of an equilibrium Monte-Carlo simulation are shown in Figure 11. In this simulation, 20,000 particles started at various  $y_b$  are random-walked while



Figure 10: Two realizations of a random walk between the time of most recent encounter with the moisture source at the boundary, and the time of arrival at the target position.

keeping track of their moisture, until almost all have reached the boundary. The moisture PDF's were computed assuming  $q_s = exp(-y)$ , as before. Recall that in this case, the PDF of  $-\ln(q/q_s)$  is also the PDF of the maximum excursion relative to  $y_b$ . As seen in the top panel, as we increase the distance from the source, the proportion of particles whose overshoot is near zero reduces, and the most probable overshoot moves slightly towards larger values. The most prominent feature at larger distances, though, is that the distribution develops a fat tail, indicating a fairly high probability of large overshoots (large values of  $-\ln q/q_s$ ). If  $q/q_s$  were lognormal, the tails in the distribution shown would be Gaussian. Replotting the data with a logarithmic ordinate (not shown) reveals that the tails in the equilibrium distribution are exponential rather than Gaussian.

In the PDF's of q itself (lower panel), the fat tails in the overshoot probability create a pronounced dry spike near q = 0. The overall evolution of the q PDF as y is increased can be described as a shift of probability from nearly saturated values to very dry values. For positions moderately close to the source, the PDF of q is distinctly bimodal, with a moist peak and a dry peak; the moist peak disappears at larger distances. At all distances, there is a broad shoulder of intermediate humidities, over which the probability is nearly uniform.

The cold trap configuration provides a very clear-cut example of the contrast between mean field theories like diffusion –which model everything in terms of the field of an ensemble average quantity – and models like the random walk



Figure 11: Equilibrium probability distributions for  $-\ln(q/q_s)$  (top panel) and  $q/q_s$  (bottom panel), at the various positions indicated on the curves. Particles execute a random walk in the domain  $[0, \infty]$ , and the moisture tagged to each particle is reset to saturation when they encounter the boundary at y = 0. Calculations were carried out with  $q_s(y) = \exp(-y)$ . Plotting of the full height of the dry spike in the lower panel has been suppressed, to make the remainder of the behavior more visible.

model which retain information about fluctuations. The specific configuration we consider is subjected to boundary conditions q = 1 at y = -1, and q = 0at y = 1. The saturation mixing ratio is unity everywhere, except for a narrow region near y = 0 where  $q_s = \frac{1}{2}$ . For the diffusion-condensation model, the equilibrium profile of q is the straight-line pure conduction profile joining the boundary values, indicated by the dashed line in Figure 12. The cold trap causes no condensation, and has no effect on the moisture profile in the diffusioncondensation model. We also solved this problem using the random walk model, by random-walking 5000 particles, resetting their moisture values to 1 or 0 upon encounters with the left or right boundary, and resetting the moisture to  $\frac{1}{2}$  upon encounter with the cold trap. The ensemble mean moisture profile for this model is given by the solid line in Figure 12, and has a kink indicating dehydration by the cold trap. It is as if the cold trap exerts an "action at a distance" caused by the fluctuations about the mean moisture, allowing it to have an effect on moisture that cannot be determined on the basis of the knowledge of the mean alone. The origins of this behavior are no great mystery. In the stochastic model, there are only three possible values for q: 1,  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and 0. A particle with q = 1 will always lose moisture upon crossing the cold trap, so there are no such particles to the right of the cold trap. Moreover, particles with q = 1 to the left of the cold trap but not too far from it have a high probability of crossing over and back again, reducing the population of such particles to the left of the cold trap. This behavior is illustrated in Figure 13, which shows how the population of particles depends on y. A population consisting of half q = 1 particles and half q = 0 particles would not condense on the basis of the average q for the ensemble, but does nonetheless lose moisture since each individual q = 1 particle will condense when crossing the cold trap.

# 6 How will water vapor change in reaction to a changing climate?

It would be fruitful to tinker with the stochastic water vapor model in search of improved, non-Brownian statistical descriptions of the trajectories that might better reproduce the salient properties of real trajectories. We believe this is the correct path to take in the quest for water vapor parameterizations suitable for incorporation in idealized climate models. In this section, however, we leap ahead to the direct use of trajectories computed from observed or simulated wind fields, without any further attempt at a reduced statistical description. Our goal in this section is to study how temperature affects the water vapor content of the free troposphere, taking into account the Lagrangian nature of the problem. In particular, we shall attempt to provide some precise justification for the expectation that free tropospheric humidity will increase as the climate becomes warmer.

The procedure we employ here is identical to that used in the equilibrium stochastic model, except that the back trajectories are computed using simu-



Figure 12: The equilibrium profile of mean humidity for a cold trap configuration subjected to boundary conditions q = 1 at the left hand boundary and q = 0 at the right. The cold trap is at the center of the domain, and resets the moisture to  $q = \frac{1}{2}$ . The dashed line gives the result for the diffusion-condensation equation, whereas the solid line gives the result of a Monte-Carlo simulation of the random walk model.



Figure 13: Spatial dependance of the number of particles of each type in the random walk simulation of the cold trap configuration shown in Figure 12.



Figure 14: Relative humidity at 12Z Dec 3, 1994, reconstructed using the back trajectory method driven by NCEP winds and temperature.

lated or observed/analyzed three dimensional global wind fields. In particular, the effect of internal mixing and moisture sources are neglected; trajectories are tracked back to the boundary layer, and assumed to be saturated at that point. The moisture at the terminal point of the trajectory is then the minimum saturation mixing ratio experienced since the encounter with the boundary layer. This approach was employed in [Pierrehumbert and Roca (1998)], who found excellent agreement with the observed tropical dry zone humidity. The same advection-condensation model has since been applied to much larger data sets in [Roca *et al.* (2005)]and [Brogniez (2004)]. We will concentrate our efforts on the midlatitude moisture distribution, since this region has received less attention than the subtropical problem. The region of study is the midlatitude Atlantic region bounded by 100E to 0E longitude and 30N to 60N latitude.

First, we computed the back-trajectory reconstructed relative humidity fields using NCEP winds and temperatures for December 1994. The reconstruction was performed four times daily at a spatial resolution of .25 degrees in latitude and longitude. To provide a general idea of what the fields look like, a map of relative humidity at 12Z on 3 December is shown in Figure 14. It shows the filamentary structure familiar from earlier work, with small scale alternations of moist and dry air.

The PDF computed over the region of study for the entire month of data is shown in Figure 15. It has a dry spike and a moist spike, with a broad region of nearly uniform but weakly decreasing probability in between. In this sense the computed PDF looks qualitatively like the PDF for the equilibrium random walk model forced by a saturated boundary layer, at the point y = .5 (Figure 10). For the sake of comparison with an observationally based moisture estimate, we also show the relative humidity PDF calculated from 500mb ERA40 analyses covering the same time period and region. <sup>2</sup> As compared to the trajectory reconstruction, the ERA40 PDF has a much less pronounced dry spike, but a greater population of air with intermediate saturation. A pattern like this

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ We used ERA40 analyses in preference to NCEP analyses because we found that the ERA40 analyses seem to give better agreement with patterns seen in satellite retrievals. We used the analyses in preference to satellite data itself because the latter represent averages over a fairly deep atmospheric layer, whereas the analyses are available at an individual model level



Figure 15: Probability distribution of relative humidity for Dec. 1994 over the region shown in Figure 14, computed 4 times daily using NCEP winds and temperatures. Results for experiments with temperature uniformly increased or decreased by 1K are also shown, but the curves are barely visible because they lie almost exactly on the control case. For comparison, the relative humidity PDF over the same time and region for the ERA40 analysis is also shown.

could be obtained by allowing some mixing between moist and dry filaments in the trajectory model, possibly representing a physical effect left out of the trajectory model. It could also result from moistening of dry air by evaporation of precipitation falling through it. On the other hand, the lack of a dry spike in the ERA40 result may be an unphysical artifact of excessive numerical diffusion in the model used to do the analysis/assimilation cycle. Is reality more like ERA40 or more like the trajectory-based reconstruction? We do not know of any humidity dataset that can unambiguously answer this question at present.

Now we pose the question of what happens if the trajectories are kept fixed, but the temperature at all points in the atmosphere is increased by a uniform amount  $\Delta T$ . In a real climate change, such as caused by the doubling of  $CO_2$ , one can expect the statistics of trajectories to change somewhat, and it is well known also that the the warming is not uniformly distributed in latitude and altitude. In posing the simplified form of the problem, we are supposing for the moment that such effects are of only second order importance in determining the changes in relative humidity. The problem stated this way forms a kind of null hypothesis about the behavior of water vapor, which can serve as a launching point for more sophisticated extensions. The effect of uniform warming on the relative humidity PDF can be determined by straightforward reasoning. Consider a point with temperature and pressure (p, T) The humidity here is determined by the point  $(p_m, T_m)$  where the most recent minimum saturation mixing ratio following a boundary layer encounter occurred (i.e. the position of the "time of last saturation"). Recall that  $p_m < p$  and  $T_m < T$ . The relative humidity is thus  $q_s(p_m, T_m)/q_s(p, t) = (p/p_m)(e_s(T_m)/e_s(T))$ . If we increase temperatures uniformly and keep trajectories fixed,  $p_m$  stays the same and the new relative humidity is  $(p/p_m)(e_s(T_m + \Delta T)/e_s(T + \Delta T))$ . Now, if  $\Delta T$  is small compared to T and  $T_m$ , as is usually the case, the Clausius-Clapeyron relation implies that the new relative humidity is approximately

$$r(\Delta T) \approx \left(\frac{p}{p_m}\right) \frac{e_s(T_m) + e_s(T_m) \frac{L}{R_w T_m^2} \Delta T}{e_s(T) + e_s(T) \frac{L}{R_w T^2} \Delta T} \approx r(0) \left(1 + \frac{L}{R_w T} \left(\frac{T^2}{T_m^2} - 1\right) \frac{\Delta T}{T}\right)$$
(18)

This expression assumes the perfect gas law, but otherwise proceeds directly from Clausius Clapeyron without the need to assume the approximate exponential form given in Equation 1. This result implies that the relative humidity increases with warming, but the coefficients are such that the changes are quite small for moderate values of  $\Delta T$ . For example, with  $T = 260K, T_m = 240K$ and  $\Delta T = 1K$ , the increase in relative humidity at each point is only .014r(0). Hence, it is expected that moderate uniform warming or cooling should leave the PDF of relative humidity essentially unchanged. To confirm this reasoning, we recomputed the NCEP back trajectory PDF's with temperature uniformly increased by 1K, and also with temperature uniformly decreased by 1K. These curves are plotted in Figure 15, and overlay the control back-trajectory PDF almost exactly.

We now apply the same technique to diagnosis of humidity changes in a GCM simulation of climate change. This enables us to probe the effects of changes in the temperature structure, and changes in the statistics of the trajectories. We consider two equilibrium simulations with the FOAM GCM coupled to a mixed layer ocean model. Two simulations were carried out, both with realistic geography: the first with 300ppmv  $CO_2$  (the "control" case), and the second with 1200ppmv  $CO_2$  (the "4x $CO_2$ " case). Figure 16 shows the relative humidity PDFs constructed using the back-trajectory method applied to the December GCM wind and temperature fields, for both the control case and the  $4xCO_2$ case. Despite the changes in temperature pattern and wind fields, the PDF's have almost the same shape for both simulations. The warm simulation has a slightly greater population of air with relative humidity in the vicinity of 30%, at the expense of a reduction in more saturated air. We performed two additional back-trajectory reconstructions, in an attempt to isolate the importance of changes in temperature structure and trajectory statistics. The calculation labeled "T(4x) W(control)" was carried out with the temperature field from the  $4xCO_2$  run but wind fields taken from the control run. The calculation labeled "W(4x) T(control)" was carried out with the wind field from the  $4xCO_2$  run but temperature fields taken from the control run. Both of these calculations generate more dry air and less saturated air than the previous two reconstructions. Changes in the temperature structure in a warmer climate, taken in



Figure 16: Relative humidity PDF's reconstructed by the back trajectory technique driven by various combinations of winds and temperature fields from a control and a  $4xCO_2$  GCM simulation. See text for definition of the cases.

isolation, enhance the production of dry air. However, the trajectories in the warmer climate adjust so as to avoid the colder regions, leaving the PDF invariant when both effects are applied in conjunction. Why this cancellation of effects should take place is at present wholly mysterious. The cancellation is particularly difficult to understand, in light of the fact that trajectory changes, taken in isolation, also enhance dry air production. It will be very interesting to see whether other GCM's exhibit similar behavior.

How does the relative humidity PDF reconstructed from back-trajectories compare with that based on the humidity computed internally to the model? These may be expected to be different, since the former reconstructs what the humidity would be in the absence of mixing and internal moisture sources, whereas the low resolution GCM allows a considerable degree of mixing. As seen in Figure 17, the dry spike seen in both NCEP and model Lagrangian reconstructions is completely absent from the internally computed PDF. This is a result of probably excessive mixing in the GCM. Significantly, the wind statistics in the GCM do not seem to be a problem, for the Lagrangian reconstruction based on model winds is reasonably similar to that based on NCEP winds. The PDF from the ERA40 analysis is also shown in the Figure, to underscore that the GCM is deficient in dry air. This deficiency is not surprising, since the leakage of a small proportion of moisture from saturated air is enough to eliminate a considerable population of dry air. We do not wish to imply that this deficiency is characteristic of all GCM's, though it may indeed be endemic to low reso-



Figure 17: Relative humidity PDFs for the internally computed GCM moisture fields, compared with results from the ERA40 analysis, the NCEP trajectory reconstruction, and the trajectory reconstruction driven by GCM simulated winds and temperature for the control case.

lution GCM's. It is interesting that, despite the considerable effect of mixing on the internally computed humidity, the GCM humidity PDF is nonetheless invariant between the control and  $4xCO_2$  case.

In contrast with the GCM and diagnostic results presented above, the idealized humidity model of [Minschwaner and Dessler (2004)] predicts a modest decrease of free tropospheric relative humidity as the climate warms; this slightly reduces the positive water vapor feedback, but does not eliminate it. Since [Minschwaner and Dessler (2004)] is a tropical model, whereas the analyses above were applied to a midlatitude case, a more meaningful comparison must await the application of the trajectory-based diagnostics to tropical regions. This is straightforward, but will be deferred to future work. If the disagreement persists, it might be due to the neglect of lateral mixing in [Minschwaner and Dessler (2004)], or it might be due to the low vertical resolution of the simulated and analyzed tropical climate, as compared to the resolution employed in [Minschwaner and Dessler (2004)].

# 7 Conclusions

The behavior of water vapor in the climate system is complex and multifold, and there will probably never be any one simple theoretical framework that accounts for everything one would like to understand about water vapor. The problem in its full complexity, as manifest in either atmospheric observations or comprehensive general circulation models, defies human comprehension. Understanding, as opposed to mere simulation, requires simple models whose behavior can be grasped in its entirety, even if they are wrong or incomplete in some particulars. This would be true even if GCM simulations were perfect, and the necessity is even more pressing in the face of simulations which are both imperfect and in certain regards suspect. We have presented some simple ideas pertinent to the proportion of subsaturated air in the atmosphere, and the degree of its subsaturation. The emphasis on highly subsaturated ("dry") air arises from its importance in determining the radiative feedback of water vapor.

In particular, based on an analysis of the way large scale atmospheric trajectories influence subsaturation, we have provided a concise and defensible statement of why one should expect atmospheric water vapor to increase as climate gets warmer: The mixing ratio at a given point in the atmosphere is determined by the saturation mixing ratio at the point of minimum temperature encountered along the trajectory extending backwards in time from this point until it encounters a moisture source sufficiently strong to saturate it. If the statistics of the trajectories do not change too much as the atmosphere warms, this minimum temperature increases, leading to an increase in the water vapor content of the target point. This is a somewhat cartoonish statement which is modified in its details by closer study, but one that survives a fair amount of scrutiny. It is intended to replace wholly indefensible statements which simply invoke the Clausius-Clapeyron relation. Clausius-Clapeyron is indeed at the root of the behavior of water vapor, but the the proper use of the relation hinges on identifying the temperature to which the relation should be applied; it's not the surface temperature, and the effect of the relation on evaporation is of little relevance to water vapor feedback. If one takes the cartoon picture to its idealized extreme, it predicts that if trajectories are held fixed while the atmosphere warms uniformly in space and time, the relative humidity probability distribution (PDF) will remain nearly invariant for small or moderate warming. This behavior was confirmed in a midlatitude case, using trajectories and unperturbed temperatures from NCEP analyses.

The Lagrangian viewpoint lends itself to the formulation of diagnostics that can be applied to atmospheric data and GCM simulations, and used to compare the operation of processes between the two. In essence, one uses 3D wind and temperature fields to study the pattern of an idealized moisture substance which does not diffuse from one air parcel to another, and which is maintained by an idealized source (typically in the boundary layer). Applied to midlatitude NCEP data, the diagnostic yields a relative humidity PDF whose dominant features are a dry spike at 5% relative humidity, a lesser spike at saturation, and a broad tail of intermediate degrees of saturation in between. The corresponding PDF computed from ERA40 data has a much broader and less pronounced dry peak, centered on 10% relative humidity, and a greater probability of air with intermediate humidity. A key unresolved question at this point is whether reality looks more like the ERA40 analysis, or the nondiffusive trajectory reconstruction. The ERA40 analysis, which incorporates assimilated satellite data, could be indicative of the importance of mixing processes in the real atmosphere; likely candidates include vertical turbulent diffusion at small scales [Haynes and Anglade (1997)], and vertical redistribution of moisture owing to evaporation of precipitation falling through very dry air. On the other hand, the broad dry maximum in the ERA40 PDF may be symptomatic of excessive numerical diffusion in the assimilation process.

The Lagrangian moisture reconstruction diagnostic is an instance of the general idea of studying the behavior of an idealized water-like substance which isolates some key feature of the problem one would like to understand, but which is easier to understand than the real thing. The isentropic study of [Yang and Pierrehumbert (1994)] was one of the earlier examples of this approach. That study examined, in effect, a water-like tracer that condensed, but had zero latent heat so that condensation would not cause a trajectory to leave a fixed dry isentropic surface. The recent work by [Galewski *et al.* 2005] also makes use of an idealized water substance which doesn't release latent heat, but this time in an Eulerian framework which allows for the effects of mixing amongst air parcels. In the same spirit, Frierson and Held (personal communication) have used a synthetic water vapor with adjustable latent heat but no radiative impact, in order to focus on the influence of moist processes on energy transport.

The Lagrangian moisture diagnostics were also applied to a general circulation model simulation of warming induced by a quadrupling of  $CO_2$ . The midlatitude relative humidity PDF reconstructed on the basis of trajectories driven by model winds was found to be invariant between the control and  $4xCO_2$ cases, even though the model warming is not spatially uniform and the trajectory statistics are allowed to change. However, the invariance of the PDF was found to result from a mysterious cancellation between the effects of nonuniform warming, and changes in the excursion statistics of the trajectories, each of which individually causes a moderate increase in the population of subsaturated air. The humidity PDF reconstructed on the basis of the model wind and temperature closely resembles that computed on the basis of observed winds and temperatures. However, the PDF of relative humidity computed internally to the GCM is very different from the Lagrangian diagnostic, and is completely missing the dry peak. We believe this is probably due to excessive mixing in the low resolution model. Nonetheless, the PDF of the GCM internally computed humidity is still invariant under warming, indicating that the basic invariance inferred from the nondiffusive Lagrangian calculation survives the addition of a considerable degree of mixing.

In order to illustrate the manner in which certain trajectory statistics govern the probability distribution of humidity, we formulated and analyzed a family of idealized models of water vapor, in which trajectories are modeled as random walk processes. The calculation predicts the shape of the PDF, and the way the PDF depends on distance from the humidity source. With some further refinements, it is even possible that models of this class could be made suitable for use in idealized climate models, enabling such models to treat a broader range of questions concerning water vapor feedback. Without a moisture source, the stochastic model predicts that, in midlatitude conditions, the mean humidity at any given point decays like  $\exp(-\sqrt{Dt})$  for some constant D, if the random walk is bounded above in temperature space. The humidity PDF quickly develops a peak at dry values, with an approximately exponential moist tail. In the presence of a moisture source at the boundary, the equilibrium PDF develops a dry spike at sufficiently large distances from the source, with a "fat tail" extending to more saturated values. At moderate distances from the source, the PDF is bimodal, with a secondary peak at saturation. This shape is similar to that found in midlatitudes using realistic trajectories.

We have compared the predictions of the stochastic model with those of a more conventional approach based on diffusion of moisture. Diffusion creates monolithic regions of saturated air and dries the atmosphere in a manner very different from the idealized stochastic model, which more closely reproduces the mechanisms operating in the real atmosphere. Diffusion is not a suitable approach to representation of water vapor in simplified climate models, at least not if one's aim is to treat water vapor feedback. The comparison between the stochastic model and the diffusion model also highlights the mathematical novelty of the former. Viewed as a stochastic problem, the distribution of subsaturation depends on probability distributions on the space of *paths*, rather than just on the space of endpoints of paths encountered in conventional linear diffusion problems. Lagrangian history probability problems of this type cannot be adequately modeled with a mean field theory like the partial differential equation for diffusion. One needs a Monte-Carlo approach, or some other approach with retains information about fluctuations as well as ensemble averages. This calls into question the whole utility of eddy diffusivity as a means of parameterizing mixing of tracers subject to a nonlinear removal process.

The trajectory approach, as usually formulated, does not treat regions of deep tropical convection explicitly. These are detected through the large scale wind field as regions of persistent upward motion, and are almost always saturated with moisture. This has the virtue of not requiring information about convection that is not implicit in the wind field, but it does amount to an assumption of saturated detrainment from convective regions. This leaves out all the mechanisms of the sort discussed by [Tompkins and Emanuel (2000)], which could keep convective regions significantly subsaturated. The trajectory approach could be improved by making explicit use of information about convection, and allowing for subsaturated detrainment. The logarithmic dependence of outgoing longwave radiation on water vapor mixing ratio means, however, that the subsaturation would have to be very substantial before it had much effect on water vapor feedback.

We have sidestepped the issue of cloud radiative effects by speaking throughout of "clear sky" radiation when translating water vapor into outgoing longwave radiation. However, the minute a separation between clear-sky and cloudy-sky radiation is invoked, one is implicitly assuming that such a distinction is meaningful. The concept of "clear sky" outgoing longwave radiation makes sense when applied to large coherent regions free of mid or high level clouds, and one should in fact understand the term to allow the inclusion of parts of the scene including boundary layer clouds (which do not affect the outgoing longwave radiation). In contrast, tropical convective regions and the midlatitude storm tracks are typically characterized by a more or less continuous and spatially complex distribution of cloudy and clear air, and all types in between. Since condensed water is so opaque to infrared, the escape of infrared to space is more controlled by the size of the clear-sky holes between clouds than by fluctuations in their humidity. This engages the whole subject of fractional cloud cover, which may well be the most problematic aspect of cloud representation in climate models. Learning to characterize and predict the radiative effect of intermingled, coupled assemblages of cloudy and clear air is one of the greatest challenges to the understanding of climate.

# 8 Acknowledgements

The research upon which this chapter is based was funded by the National Science Foundation, under grants ATM-0121028 and ATM-0123999.

# References

- [Galewski *et al.* 2005] Galewski J, Sobel A, and Held IM 2005: A technique for diagnosing moisture dynamics in GCMs. Submitted.
- [Kiehl et al] Kiehl JT, Hack JJ, Bonan GB, Boville BA, Williamson DL, Rasch PJ 1998: The National Center for Atmospheric Research Community Climate Model: CCM3 J. Climate 11, 1131-1149.
- [Haynes and Anglade (1997)] Haynes P, Anglade J 1997: The vertical-scale cascade in atmospheric tracers due to large-scale differential advection JOUR-NAL OF THE ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES 54 (9): 1121-1136.
- [Kalnay et al. (1996)] Kalnay, E., and Coauthors, 1996: The NCEP/NCAR 40-Year Reanalysis Project. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 77, 437471.
- [Hall and Manabe (1999)] Hall A, Manabe S 1999: The role of water vapor feedback in unperturbed climate variability and global warming JOURNAL OF CLIMATE 12 (8): 2327-2346.
- [Manabe and Wetherald (1967)] MANABE S, WETHERALD RT 1967: THERMAL EQUILIBRIUM OF ATMOSPHERE WITH A GIVEN DISTRIBUTION OF RELATIVE HUMIDITY JOURNAL OF THE ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES 24 (3): 241ff.
- [Minschwaner and Dessler (2004)] Minschwaner K, Dessler AE 2004: Water vapor feedback in the tropical upper troposphere: Model results and observations JOURNAL OF CLIMATE 17 (6): 1272-1282.

- [Emanuel and Pierrehumbert (1996)] Emanuel, K and Pierrehumbert, RT 1996: Microphysical and dynamical control of tropospheric water vapor. in *Clouds, Chemistry and Climate*, Nato ASI Series **35**. Springer:Berlin, 260pp.
- [Kelly et al (1991)] KELLY KK, TUCK AF, DAVIES T 1991: WINTERTIME ASYMMETRY OF UPPER TROPOSPHERIC WATER BETWEEN THE NORTHERN AND SOUTHERN HEMISPHERES NATURE 353 (6341): 244-247.
- [Tompkins and Emanuel (2000)] Tompkins AM, Emanuel KA The vertical resolution sensitivity of simulated equilibrium temperature and water-vapour profiles QUARTERLY JOURNAL OF THE ROYAL METEOROLOGICAL SOCIETY 126 (565): 1219-1238 Part B APR 2000
- [Legras et al. (2003)] Legras B, Joseph B, Lefevre F Vertical diffusivity in the lower stratosphere from Lagrangian back-trajectory reconstructions of ozone profiles JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH-ATMOSPHERES 108 (D18): Art. No. 4562 SEP 16 2003
- [Colman and McAvaney (1997)] Colman RA, McAvaney BJ A study of general circulation model climate feedbacks determined from perturbed sea surface temperature experiments JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH-ATMOSPHERES 102 (D16): 19383-19402 AUG 27 1997
- [Pauluis and Held (2002)] Pauluis O, Held IM 2002:P Entropy budget of an atmosphere in radiative-convective equilibrium. Part II: Latent heat transport and moist processes JOURNAL OF THE ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES 59 (2): 140-149.
- [Weaver *et al* (2001)] Weaver AJ, Eby M, Wiebe EC, et al. 2001: The UVic Earth System Climate Model: Model description, climatology, and applications to past, present and future climates ATMOSPHERE-OCEAN 39 (4): 361-428.
- [Petoukhov et al (2000)] Petoukhov V, Ganopolski A, Brovkin V Claussen M, Eliseev, Kubatzki C and Rahmstorf S 2000: CLIMBER-2: a climate system model of intermediate complexity. Part I: model description and performance for present climate. Climate Dynamics 16: 1-17
- [Lindzen (1990)] LINDZEN RS SOME COOLNESS CONCERNING GLOBAL WARMING BULLETIN OF THE AMERICAN METEOROLOGICAL SO-CIETY 71 (3): 288-299 MAR 1990
- [Zhang et al. (2003)] Zhang CD, Mapes BE, Soden BJ 2003: Bimodality in tropical water vapour Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 129 (594): 2847-2866.
- [Shine and Sinha (1991)] Shine KP, Sinha A 1991: Sensitivity of the Earths Climate to Height-Dependent Changes in the Water-Vapor Mixing-Ratio Nature 354 (6352): 382-384.

- [Soden and Bretherton (1993)] Soden BJ, Bretherton FP 1993: Upper-Tropospheric Relative-Humidity From The Goes 6.7 Mu-M Channel -Method And Climatology For July 1987 Journal Of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres 98 (D9): 16669-16688.
- [Pierrehumbert (1998)] Pierrehumbert RT 1998: Lateral mixing as a source of subtropical water vapor. Geophys. Res. Lett 25, 151-154.
- [Pierrehumbert and Roca (1998)] Pierrehumbert, RT and Roca R 1998: Evidence for Control of Atlantic Subtropical Humidity by Large Scale Advection. *Geophys. Res. Letters* 25, 4537-4540.
- [Roca et al. (2005)] Roca R., J-Ph. Lafore, C. Piriou and J.L. Redelsperger, 2005, Extra-tropical dry air intrusions into the West African Monsoon midtroposphere: an important factor for the convective activity over Sahel, J. Atmos. Sci., in press.
- [Brogniez (2004)] Brogniez, H 2004: Humidité de la Troposphere Libre Africaine: Elaboration d'une Archive Meteosat, Analyse Climatique et Evaluation de Modeles PhD thesis, Univ. Paris VI, Paris:250pp.
- [Pierrehumbert (2002)] Pierrehumbert RT 2002: The Hydrologic Cycle in Deep Time Climate Problems. Nature 419,191-198.
- [Salathe and Hartmann (1997)] Salathe EP and Hartmann DL 1997: A trajectory analysis of tropical upper-tropospheric moisture and convection. *Journal* of Climate 10,2533-2547.
- [Sherwood and Dessler (2000)] Sherwood SC, Dessler AE 2000: On the control of stratospheric humidity GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS 27 (16): 2513-2516.
- [Soden (1998)] Soden BJ 1998: Tracking upper tropospheric water vapor. J. Geophys. Research 103 (D14),17069-17081.
- [Spencer and Braswell (1997)] Spencer RW, Braswell WD 1997: How dry is the tropical free troposphere? Implications for global warming theory. B Am Meteorol Soc 78, 1097-1106.
- [Yang and Pierrehumbert (1994)] Yang, H. and Pierrehumbert, R. T. 1994: Production of dry air by isentropic mixing. J. Atmos. Sci. 51, 3437-3454.
- [Karatzas and Shreve (1991)] Karatzas, I and Shreve SE 1991: Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus, Springer:New York, 469pp.
- [Lesigne (2005)] Lesigne E 2005: Heads or Tails: An Introduction to Limit Theorems in Probability. (A. Pierrehumbert, translator), American Mathematical Society,149pp, in press.
- [Held and Soden (2000)] Held IM, Soden BJ 2000: Water vapor feedback and global warming Annu Rev Energ Env 25 441-475.

[Pierrehumbert (1999)] Pierrehumbert RT 1999: Subtropical water vapor as a mediator of rapid global climate change. in Clark PU, Webb RS and Keigwin LD eds. *Mechanisms of global change at millennial time scales*. American Geophysical Union:Washington, D.C. Geophysical Monograph Series **112**, 394 pp.